

# Motion by the Coalition

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.1 – Political Management Arrangements 2019

Deletes recommendations and replaces with:

- 1.1. Council agrees to change to Option A as the new political management arrangements to take effect from 5 August 2019.
- 1.2. Council notes that governance documentation will be submitted for approval to the next Council meeting on 27 June 2019.
- 1.3. Agrees the change to deputations included in paragraph 4.21 and delegate authority to the Chief Executive to make the required change in Procedural Standing Orders.
- 1.4. Agrees that a report be submitted to the next Corporate Policy and Strategy Committee (or successor) in August 2019 reviewing the number and nature of working groups.
- 1.5. Agrees to amend appointments and SRAs from existing arrangements as follows:
  - 1.5.1 (a) To note the Regulatory Committee is a policy making committee and in addition to its workload requirements identified in the report, would justify the appointment of a Vice-Convener and therefore;
  - (b) introduces a new senior councillor position of Vice-Convener of the Regulatory Committee/Licensing Sub-Committee, at an allowance of £26,207 with effect from 31 May 2019.
  - 1.5.2 (a) notes the Licensing Board and Governance Risk and Best Value Committee are not currently policy making committees and therefore would not justify a Vice-Convener and therefore;
  - (b) does not appoint a new Licensing Board Vice-Convener and deletes the position of Governance Risk and Best Value Vice-Convener.
  - 1.5.3 Adjusts the Convener of Licensing Board's allowance to £32,758 with effect from 31 May 2019.
  - 1.5.4 Adjusts the Opposition Group Leader's allowances to £26,207 with effect from 31 May 2019.

---

**Moved by** Councillor McVey

**Seconded by** Councillor Day

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.1 – Review of Political Management Arrangements 2019

Council:

Agrees:

1. That the changes outlined in Option A with some adjustments as outlined below would be most likely to improve the delivery of core services and allow the efficient streamlined decision making and scrutiny that is required to improve performance and deliver the necessary savings whilst ensuring basic services are delivered efficiently and effectively.
2. That the poor outcomes demonstrated at Agenda items 8.4 and 8.5 are partly explained by the ineffectiveness of the current political management arrangements and failures to take account of views across the Council and therefore justifies the changes outlined below:
3. Corporate Policy and Strategy Committee to be renamed the Corporate Policy, Strategy and Economy Committee and its membership increased to 17 comprising: 5 SNP, 5 Conservative, 3 Labour, 2 Green and 2 Liberal Democrat Councillors with the following remit:
  - a) All cross cutting strategic policy decisions to be taken in principle at this Committee to ensure a joined-up, city wide approach for decision making in the areas of its current remit and additionally:
  - b) Economic strategy to include tourism strategy;
  - c) Sustainability to ensure that all areas of the Council contribute to delivering carbon reduction and decisions in one area do not undermine other areas;
  - d) Regeneration projects;
  - e) Approval of the citywide Police and Fire Plans;
  - f) IJB partnership oversight and Strategic Planning;
  - g) Strategic Transport Projects which have citywide or regional impacts;
  - h) Any project over £10 million capital cost
4. Makes no change to the membership of the Finance and Resources and Children and Families Committees except as per the responsibilities outlined in the remit for the Corporate Policy, Strategy and Economy Committee
5. Alters the remit of the Transport and Environment Committee as per the changes to CPS&E committee;

6. Renames the Housing and Economy Committee the Housing, Homelessness and Fairwork Committee;
7. Adds scrutiny of the services the Council is responsible for as part of the Health and Social Care Partnership to the remit of the Culture and Communities Committee;
8. Alters the role and remits of Committees to take into consideration the changes to the Corporate Policy, Strategy and Economy Committee under the value of £10 million, scrutinise the delivery and performance of the services within the Committee's remit as per the annex to these papers which will improve the accountability of officers and focus attention on delivery of core services.
9. Makes no changes to the membership and remit of the Planning, Regulatory and Governance, Risk and Best Value Committees and their sub committees except to include the Convener of the Planning Committee as a member of a Local Review Body.

10. Senior Councillor Allowances:

Lord Provost	Set by statute
Deputy Convener	50%
Leader of the council:	Set by statute
Deputy Leader of the Council	75%
Convener TEC	60%
Convener C&F	60%
Convener H&E	60%
Convener C&C	60%
Convener F&R	60%
Planning Convener	60%
Council representative on Integrated Joint Board when appointed as:	
Convener, or	60%
Vice Convener	50%
Leader Conservative Group	50%
4 x Conservatives member (if not already in receipt of a Senior Councillor Allowance)	40%
Leader Green Group	50%
1 x Green member (if not already in receipt of a Senior Councillor Allowance)	40%
Leader Lib Dem Group	50%
1 x Lib Dem member (if not already in receipt of a Senior Councillor Allowance)	40%
GRBV Convener (member of opposition)	60%
Regulatory Convener	60%
Licensing Board Convener	60%

11. Agrees to limit the overall Senior Councillor Allowances budget to a level 1.5% below last years spend, reflecting the efficiency savings target Council services are being asked to achieve. Furthermore, this self-imposed reduction in the Senior Councillor Allowance will track all future savings targets outlined in Council budgets.
12. Notes the arrangements above is a total spend of £670,898.70, which achieves a saving of more than 1.5%.
13. Accepts 4.21 and 4.22 on Deputations and Working Groups
14. Agrees to discontinue the current practice of Agenda Planning Meetings and instead introduce regular briefings to group representatives in advance of executive committee meetings by senior officers.

**Moved by** Councillor Iain Whyte

**Seconded by** Councillor Joanna Mowat

# Amendment by the Green Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.1 – Review of Political Management Arrangements 2019

To Delete 1.5 and insert -

1.5 Notes that

- a) The Edinburgh Integration Joint Board is responsible for the strategy and budget for Health & Social Care for Edinburgh.
- b) The NHSL Board has appointed Angus McCann, NHSL Board Member as convener of the EIJB for the next 2 years
- c) The workload, legal duties and the political leadership of the Board will fall to Mr McCann from the end of June.

Therefore removes the allowance for EIJB convener from the Senior Councillor Remuneration.

1.6 Notes that Council has appointed Cllr Ricky Henderson Vice Convener by the EIJB. Therefore adds the EIJB Vice-Convener with a Vice-Convener allowance to the Senior Councillor Remuneration.

1.6 Notes that -

- a) There is no justification given for two new vice convener positions, a Vice-Convener of the Licensing Board and Vice-Convener of Regulatory Committee, describing the special responsibilities required the post for which they will receive their allowance.
- b) The Licensing Board has not set up the position of a Vice-Convener.
- c) In 2017 Council appointed a Vice Convener of Governance, Risk and Best Value Committee, and that the holder of this post has not received a Vice-Convener allowance to date.

1.7 Agrees not to create any new Vice-Conveners and agrees to receive a report with justifications for new Vice-Conveners and recommendations for parity of remuneration in one cycle.

**Moved by:** Councillor Mary Campbell  
**Seconded by:** Councillor Chas Booth

# Amendment by the Liberal Democrat Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.1 – Review of Political Management Arrangements 2019

To agree option A subject to a further review after 12 months  
Otherwise to agree the report subject to the following adjustments:

- a. That the position of vice convener of the Edinburgh Integration Joint Board be awarded an SRA at the same level as other vice conveners.
- b. That the issue of potential SRAs for a Vice Convener of Regulatory, Vice Convener of Licensing Board and Vice Convener of GRBV be continued for a report on the specific roles and responsibilities which would be expected of vice conveners in these positions to warrant the payment of any such special responsibility allowance, in line with the instructions of the Council meeting of 2 May 2019.
- c. That, in considering SRAs at the next review in 2020, all vice convener positions be subject to evaluation of the role, workload and special responsibilities to ensure they reach a threshold to justify additional responsibility payments.

**Moved by** Councillor Robert Aldridge

**Seconded by** Councillor Kevin Lang



# Amendment by the Conservative Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.2 – Added Members and voting rights on the Education, Children and Families Committee – Legal Opinion

Council:

Recommendations

Deletes 1.2 and 1.3 and replaces with:

1.2 Delays determination of whether to add members and whether or not to remove voting rights of added members to August sitting of Council, to allow time for review of similar proposals being implemented by Perth and Kinross Council.

1.3 Asks officers to update report for Meeting Papers of August Council to include information on the outcomes of changes to voting rights of added members on the Education and Lifelong Learning Committee of Perth and Kinross Council.

**Moved by** Councillor Callum Laidlaw

**Seconded by** Councillor Jason Rust

# Amendment by the Green Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.2 – Added Members and voting rights on the Education, Children and Families Committee – Legal Opinion

Replaces 1.2 and 1.3 with -

Council agrees to:

- 1.2 Offer a second place on the Committee to a parent representative.
- 1.3 Offer the opportunity for young people to be represented on the Committee and discuss with the appropriate organisations what form this should take.
- 1.4 Notes that if the parents or pupils accept the offered place on Committee, this would be subject to a further report to Full Council on any changes required to comply with the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973.
- 1.5 Make all added members for Educational matters non-voting members.

**Moved by** Councillor Mary Campbell

**Seconded by** Councillor Gavin Corbett

# Amendment by the Liberal Democrat Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.2 – Added Members and voting rights on the Education, Children and Families Committee – Legal Opinion

Council:

- 1) Notes the legal position that it is within the Council's powers to confer or remove voting rights of added members on the Education, Children and Families Committee.
- 2) Recognises the valuable contribution from all additional members on the committee but agrees that these should be non-voting positions.
- 3) In principle supports a second place on the Committee for a parent representative and requests a further report on how this might be implemented.
- 4) Offers the opportunity for young people to be represented on the Committee and asks Council officers to discuss with appropriate youth organisations what form this should take, and report back to the Education Children and Families Committee within 2 cycles.

**Moved by** Councillor Louise Young

**Seconded by** Councillor Hal Osler

# Amendment by Councillor Chas Booth

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.3 – Report of Pre-Determination Hearing – 2 Eastfield Road, Edinburgh

Delete recommendations and insert,

“Council:

1) notes the recommendation of the Development Management Sub-Committee on a planning application, which was the subject of a pre-determination hearing under the procedures set out in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedures) (Scotland) Regulations 2008, to grant planning permission in principle for the reasons outlined in the report by the Chief Planning Officer;

2) nonetheless, agrees to refuse planning permission in principle for the following reasons:

2.1) the application is contrary to policy Tra 1 Location of Major Travel Generating Development of the Local Development Plan, paragraphs a, b and c, in that the applicant has not demonstrated the suitability of the proposal regarding accessibility for modes other than car, has not demonstrated the contribution the proposal makes to achieving the Local Transport Strategy objectives, and has not given due regard to the impact of any travel demand generated by the new development on the existing road and public transport networks;

2.2) the application is contrary to policy Tra 8 Provision of Transport Infrastructure, of the Local Development Plan, and paragraphs 10.5 and 11.3.1 of the West Edinburgh Transport Appraisal refresh (WETA), in that an appropriate transport assessment has not been carried out;

2.3) the application is contrary to policy Env 22 Pollution and Air, Water and Soil Quality, in that the application will contribute to the growth of Edinburgh Airport, and will therefore increase emissions of climate-changing pollution;

3) agrees to refer the decision to Scottish Ministers.”

**Moved by:** Councillor Chas Booth

**Seconded by:**

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

**Item 8.4 – Revenue Budget Framework 2019/24 –  
Progress Update**

Council:

Agrees to ratify decisions 1.1.1 and 1.1.3 of the referral report but rejects the proposal to approve the increase in charges to building fees for site inspections and completion of work certificates as set out in Appendix 7 with effect from 1 July 2019 and instructs the Executive Director of Resources to report back to Committee on fee charges.

**Moved by** Councillor Andrew Johnston

**Seconded by** Councillor Graham Hutchison

# Addendum by the Green Group

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

## **Item 8.4 – Revenue Budget Framework 2019-2024 – Progress Update**

1.2 The council agrees that appendix 5 – listing examples of budget options in other councils – has no status in relation to approaches to be considered by this council and that budget options to address the revenue budget framework gap will be brought to Finance and Resources Committee on 15 August 2019.

**Moved by:** Councillor Gavin Corbett

**Seconded by:**

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Items 8.5 and 8.6 – 2018 Edinburgh People Survey Headline Results and Local Government Benchmarking Framework 2017/2018 – Edinburgh Overview

Council:

- 1) Notes the reports by the Chief Executive on the 2018 Edinburgh People Survey headline Results and Local Government Benchmarking Framework 2017/2018 – Edinburgh Overview and express concern that:
  - a) The 2018 People’s Survey once again highlights increasing dissatisfaction amongst Edinburgh residents with core services provided to all residents and the results particularly:
    - (i) Road maintenance, pavements/footpaths, street cleaning, rubbish collection and recycling, vandalism and graffiti, antisocial behaviour and dog fouling have shown a downward trend and that this has been consistent over the last seven years of SNP/Labour run Administrations and that, most strikingly only 35% of participants felt the Council provided value for money and only 36% felt that they have a say on local issues and services.
    - b) Within the Local Government Benchmarking Framework the Council was in the bottom half of Scottish Council performance more than half of the indicators (44 of 75), that this is a worsening position over time (36 of 75 in 2016/17) and that the number of indicators in the bottom half had increased across all the LGBF themes except Corporate services and that, once again, there are particularly poor scores on measures that relate to public satisfaction with services.
- 2) Council Considers that this is the result of a number of years where successive SNP/Labour Administrations had failed to properly prioritise service performance, improvement, benchmarking and Best Value to the detriment of Edinburgh citizens and the services they received.
- 3) Council agrees that Edinburgh citizens deserved better and that as a large, City based Council, Edinburgh should be using its scale and operational base to provide Scotland-leading services and further seeking to improve towards best practice on each service as measured in the UK and beyond.

- 4) Council therefore, instructs the Chief Executive to draw up a Comprehensive Improvement Plan setting out how the Council could achieve service standards across all services that met the Council's own SMART targets, achieved top half performance amongst Scottish Councils across all indicators and that identified measures of performance against recognised best practice.

The Comprehensive Improvement Plan should be presented to the Council within two cycles and must include specific timescales within which service improvements would be made along with plans to implement these which would be made available to be scrutinised at Council Executive Committees.

The plan should also clearly demonstrate how Council services will provide better value for money, anticipating improvement in that metric to at least 50% satisfaction by the time of the next survey.

**Moved by** Councillor Iain Whyte

**Seconded by** Councillor Phil Duggart



# Amendment by the Green Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.5 – 2018 Edinburgh People Survey Headline Results

Delete recommendations and insert:

“1. Council is concerned that, whilst resident satisfaction with the City as a place to live and certain areas of Council performance is high, there are too many examples where resident's satisfaction with Council performance has declined significantly, for example:

- a) 11% drop over 2 years in satisfaction with facilities for teenagers (p.137);
- b) 10% drop in satisfaction with street cleaning (p.97);
- c) 10% drop in satisfaction with refuse collection (p.102);
- d) 15% drop over 2 years in satisfaction with how vandalism and graffiti is dealt with (p.165);
- e) 14% drop over 2 years in satisfaction with how antisocial behaviour is dealt with (p.170);
- f) 10% drop over 2 years in satisfaction with the way dog fouling is dealt with (p.175);

2. Therefore requests that for these areas of Council performance, a further report is provided to Corporate Policy & Strategy Committee within 2 cycles which includes;

- a) Further explanation of the possible reasons for the levels of satisfaction in each of these areas of Council performance
- b) Actions that can be taken to improve performance in each of these areas of Council performance”

**Moved by:** Chas Booth

**Seconded by:**

# Amendment by the Liberal Democrat Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.5 – 2018 Edinburgh People Survey Headline Results

Council notes with concern that increasing numbers of Edinburgh residents are dissatisfied with the way this administration is running the city.

Notes in particular their concerns about rubbish collection and recycling

Further notes that only 36 per cent of residents feel they have a say on local issues and services.

Notes that in many areas of the council's operation satisfaction with the council peaked in the final year of the Liberal Democrat led administration and that satisfaction levels have been on a decline since that time.

Given the long term downward trend in many of these areas council instructs officers to produce a consolidated action plan to address the problem areas identified by our citizens in the survey to ensure a focus on rectifying the problems.

**Moved by** Councillor Robert Aldridge

**Seconded by** Councillor Kevin Lang

# Addendum by the Green Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.6 – Local Government Benchmarking Framework 2017-18 – Edinburgh Overview

1.1.2 Notes a number of service areas in Edinburgh which give cause for concern. These include:

- That Edinburgh invests a third of the amount per 1,000 population on parks and greenspaces, compared to the Scottish average.
- That Edinburgh spends less than half of the Scottish average on library services as measured by number of visitors.
- That, despite having the highest council housing rents in Scotland, Edinburgh has poorer performance on repair response times and housing quality than most councils.
- That Edinburgh is almost at the bottom of rankings in having council buildings which are suitable for current use.
- That the household recycling rate in Edinburgh is lower than the national average with Edinburgh ranking 23rd out of 32 councils.
- That Edinburgh ranks 30th out of 32 councils in satisfaction with schools.

1.1.3 Further notes

- That Edinburgh receives the lowest funding settlement per head of population from the Scottish Government of any Scottish council and, that this re-inforces the need for significant reform of local authority funding.
- That almost a decade of public sector funding cuts implemented by successive UK Governments has led to significant pressure on local government budgets; and that widely-criticised changes to welfare services have increased demands on local government services.

1.1.4 Agrees that the above issues, among many others, be taken into account in developing service improvement plans.

**Moved by:** Councillor Gavin Corbett

# Amendment by the Liberal Democrat Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 8.6 – Local Government Benchmarking Framework 2017/18 – Edinburgh Overview

Council welcomes the report.

Council notes with concern that the report shows satisfaction with refuse and cleansing is declining, street cleanliness scores are reducing and there is a deterioration in the condition of A B and C class roads.

Council is concerned that recycling rates are not meeting targets, that non-emergency repairs to housing are taking too long to resolve and the percentage of housing meeting the Scottish Housing Quality Standard is poor.

Council is further concerned that staff sickness levels are increasing and are at unacceptable levels.

Council therefore calls for the production of a consolidated action plan to address these issues with timescales and targets to reverse the decline in these areas of the council's operation.

**Moved by** Councillor Robert Aldridge

**Seconded by** Councillor Kevin Lang

# Amendment by the Coalition

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.2 – Motion By Councillor Laidlaw – Subject Choice in Schools

Council is asked

- 1) To replace the first paragraph with:
  - “1) To acknowledge the findings within the Reform Scotland Report and note this is a national report and therefore the conclusions are not necessarily reflective of the situation in Edinburgh. To recognise that in Edinburgh it is schools, working with pupils and parents, who decide on subject choice and how many National 4s and 5s pupils sit.”
- 2) To delete the points 1 to 5 submitted for noting.
- 3) This should cover the whole school experience, including subject choice, methods for gaining qualifications, including National Qualifications, Skills for Work, Vocational Qualifications, and opportunities for wider achievement. The report should detail any changes suggested by the Scottish Government after their review of the implementation of the Curriculum for Excellence and the potential impact on Edinburgh Council Schools. This will include information in relation to points 1 to 5 as identified in the final part of the motion.

**Moved by** Councillor Perry

**Seconded by** Councillor Dickie

# Amendment by the Coalition

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

## **Item 9.3 – Motion by Councillor Webber – Waste Collection Service**

- 1) To note points a) and b).
- 2) Replaces point c) with:  
“c) Recognises the challenges the visually impaired face are further exacerbated by bins that are not placed in correct locations and notes the opportunity for waste operatives and residents to be reminded of this, through internal training and public communications.”
- 3) To amend point d) to read “Requests that an update on these issues is included in the next Waste and Cleansing Performance Update report to the Transport and Environment Committee.”

**Moved by** Councillor Doran

**Seconded by** Councillor Howie

# Amendment by the Coalition

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

**Item 9.4 – Motion by Councillor Staniforth – Full Council Does Not Welcome Trump**

Council agrees the motion with the deletion of points 5, 6, and 7.

**Moved by** Councillor McVey

**Seconded by** Councillor Day

# Amendment by the Coalition

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.5 – Motion by Councillor McLellan – Stead’s Place

Delete the motion and replace with:

- 1) Council notes the importance of universities in the city and the Planning Service continues to meet regularly with Universities and Colleges within the City looking at the full range of development aspirations they have including student accommodation and campus buildings. Council also notes the impact the over-dominance student housing can have on local communities.
- 2) Notes the City Plan 2030 process will continue to actively engage with the City’s universities and colleges to ensure a planned approach is undertaken for the delivery of student accommodation and their longer term development aspirations. Progress will be reported to Planning Committee on both City Plan 2030 and through the review of planning guidance on student housing.
- 3) Notes that Council will continue to encourage the universities and colleges and private sector partners to engage with their local communities as they prepare development proposals, in the context of Council commitments and policies delivering affordable housing and mixed communities.

**Moved by** Councillor Gardiner

**Seconded by** Councillor Child



# Amendment by Independent Member

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.5 – Motion by Councillor McLellan – Stead’s Place

Council:

Following the decision by Edinburgh University to withdraw from the Stead’s Place proposal, council agrees:

- 1) To ask the Director of Place to organise a summit as soon as possible at which the Council, housing associations, universities and ethical developers can be invited to discuss future affordable housing plans and needs in the city of Edinburgh.
- 2) Further requests the Director of Place to produce a city-wide affordable housing masterplan in conjunction with the Council and stakeholders on a timescale to be agreed with the sector, which both addresses the lack of affordable housing and maintains local character and balanced communities by ensuring no over-concentration of temporary residences such as student accommodation in any one community.
- 3) To prioritise the needs and well-being of local residents by providing new affordable housing and protecting the local character of socially diverse and inclusive communities.”

**Moved by** Councillor Graczyk

**Seconded by**

# Amendment by the Coalition

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

## **Item 9.6 – Motion by Councillor McLellan – Dunard Concert Hall**

Council:

1. Agrees points 1 and 2.
2. Replaces points 3 and 4 with:
  - “3) Notes that each planning application is considered on its merits having regard to the development plan and material considerations. The applications for planning permission and listed building consent were considered by the Development Management Sub Committee on 24 April through a formal hearing process, with all matters, including the materials and traffic management given careful consideration in this sensitive context.
  - 4) Notes that there are existing procedures in place to review the Old and New Towns of Edinburgh World Heritage Site Management Plan and planning guidance. The Management Plan was approved December 2017 and is revised on a 5 yearly basis. The review of the Plan, and ongoing monitoring will consider the impacts of new development on the Outstanding Universal Value of the Site, including the use of materials and contribution to cultural life. The Edinburgh Design Guidance includes guidance to developers on the use of building materials. This guidance is reviewed annually and any need for amendment will be considered in this context.”

**Moved by** Councillor Gardiner

**Seconded by** Councillor Child

# Amendment by the Coalition

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

## **Item 9.12 – Motion By Councillor McLellan – SESPlan2**

Deletes motion and replaces with:

1. Notes the Scottish Government decision on the SESPlan2.
2. Notes a meeting of the SESplan Joint Committee is due to take place in June 2019 when relevant report(s) on this matter will be referred to the Planning Committee. The annual Development Plan Scheme is the statutory report which sets out the timetable for development plan preparation and will be reported to the 7 August Planning Committee.

**Moved by** Councillor Gardiner

**Seconded by** Councillor Child

# Amendment by the Coalition

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.13 – Motion by Councillor Mowat – Edinburgh Gateway

Council:

1. Accepts points 1), 2) and 3) of the motion.
2. Removes points 4), 5) and 6) of the motion and replaces with the following:
  - “4) Notes that this project was considered as part of the Edinburgh Glasgow Improvement Programme (EGIP) which will have increased rail capacity between the cities by 30% this year and cut journey times to 43 minutes.
  - 5) Notes that Scottish Ministers have instructed Transport Scotland to undertake a Strategic Review of Transport Projects (STPR2) and the Governance for this is through the City Deal Transport Board.
  - 6) Agrees that the City of Edinburgh Council will make representations to STPR2 to ask for this matter to be included within the context of the Review.”

**Moved by:** Councillor Doran  
**Seconded by:** Councillor McVey

# Addendum by the Liberal Democrat Group

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

**Item 9.13 – Motion by Councillor Mowat – Edinburgh Gateway**

Add:

“8) agrees this work should also prioritise significant improvements to the capacity and access arrangements at Dalmeny Station, particularly in light of the major new housing developments at Scotstoun and Builyeon Road in Queensferry.”

**Moved by** Councillor Kevin Lang

**Seconded by** Councillor Louise Young

# Amendment by the Coalition

**City of Edinburgh Council**

**30 May 2019**

## **Item 9.15 – Motion by Councillor Graczyk – Equal Right of Appeal**

1. Notes points 1-6.

2. Replaces 7a) and 7b) with:

“The commitment by this Council to give communities the right to appeal remains in place with submissions already made to Scottish Government through the Planning Bill process on that basis. Elected members and Council officials will continue to engage in this process and acknowledge the importance of communities being involved earlier in the preparation of development plans which impact on their area.”

**Moved by** Councillor Gardiner

**Seconded by** Councillor Child

# Amendment by the Green Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.15 – Equal Right of Appeal

Delete paragraph 1 and replace with,

"Notes the Planning (Scotland) Bill will be considered at Stage 3 in the Scottish Parliament in June;"

Insert new paragraph 6 as follows, and renumber the existing paragraph 6 as paragraph 7:

"6) acknowledges the work of Andy Wightman MSP who tabled an amendment on equalising right of appeal at Stage 2 of the Planning (Scotland) Bill, which would have given communities the same right as developers to appeal planning decisions, had it passed;"

Delete paragraph 7b and replace with:

"7b Request the Council Leader write to MSPs, the Minister for Local Government, Housing and Planning, and the Scottish Government's Chief Planner restating the Council's position and urging them to support community right of appeal in planning."

**Moved by:** Councillor Chas Booth

**Seconded by:**

# Amendment by the Conservative Group

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

### Item 9.16 – Motion by Councillor Rae – Funding for Action on Poverty

Council:

Delete all and replace with:

Council notes:

- 1) that the European Aid to the Most Deprived fund makes £3.5m available to the UK for “lifting at least 20 million people out of the risk of poverty and social exclusion” by the end of 2020 and that this scheme was specifically intended to assist asylum seekers;
- 2) that although the deadline to secure funding this year has passed Edinburgh’s share of a UK sum of £600,000 would be approximately £6,000;
- 3) the ongoing work of the Edinburgh Poverty Commission towards addressing poverty and that considerable efforts still need to be made to improve the outcomes achieved with the large sums of public funding spent by a number of partner agencies on this work in Edinburgh;
- 4) that the UK Government has decided to use the Fund to support vulnerable 16-24 year olds who have entered the UK through a resettlement schemes, been granted refugee status, or identified as victims of modern slavery; and notes that the fund will still provide £2.9 million for this cause.

Council therefore considers that the UK Government’s proposed use for the fund is the correct one and, while Edinburgh should receive any funding directly resulting from this objective, diverting money from vulnerable young people to other purposes is not appropriate.

Council further notes the wider context that the Scottish Government now has substantive responsibility for welfare, including the power to create new benefits and top-up reserved benefits, and has the tax powers to fund any spending accordingly and that poverty alleviation should be considered in this devolved policy context.

**Moved by** Councillor Cameron Rose

**Seconded by** Councillor Callum Laidlaw



# Emergency Motion by the Coalition

## City of Edinburgh Council

30 May 2019

## European Elections

Council:

1. Thanks the election team and Lothian Valuation Joint Board staff for their work in delivering the European elections.
2. Notes the issues reported across the UK of many EU nationals being unable to vote.
3. Condemns the lack of support given to Council by the UK government in the circumstances of failing to confirm the UK's participation in the EU elections earlier in the process to enable EU citizens resident in Edinburgh to take part locally in the elections to the European Parliament.

**Moved by:** Councillor Munro  
**Seconded by:** Councillor McVey